

Discussion Paper Series A No.394

**Foreign Direct Investment and Service Trade:
The Case of Japan**

Kyoji Fukao

(The Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University)

and

Keiko Ito

(Graduate School of Economics, Hitotsubashi University)

July 2000

**Foreign Direct Investment and Service Trade:
The Case of Japan**

Kyoji Fukao*

The Institute of Economic Research
Hitotsubashi University

Keiko Ito

Graduate School of Economics
Hitotsubashi University

July 2000

*Correspondence: Fukao, The Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University,
2-1 Naka, Kunitachi-shi, Tokyo 192, JAPAN. Tel.: +81-425-80-8359, Fax.: +81-425-80-
8333, e-mail: k.fukao@srv.cc.hit-u.ac.jp.

1. Introduction

Since many services are either untradable or at least difficult to trade, a substantial part of the international delivery of services is conducted through affiliates established within other countries. For this reason, it has been argued that the compilation of statistics on international sales of services must include information not only on *cross-border transactions*, as recorded in the balance of payment statistics, but also on services delivered through *establishment transactions* (Kravis and Lipsey 1988, Ascher and Whichard 1991). Being aware of this issue, the U.S. Government has made efforts to improve official statistics, so that in the case of the U.S., relatively reliable statistics on these two types of international transactions of services are available from the 1980's onwards (U.S. Congress 1986, U.S. Department of Commerce 1995a, 1999). In contrast, although Japan has the second largest market for services in the world, Japan's official statistics on establishment transactions of services have many drawbacks in comparison with U.S. statistics.

In this paper, we estimate the sales and employment of Japanese affiliates of foreign firms (JAFF) and foreign affiliates of Japanese firms (FAJF) in the service sector at the 3-digit industry level for the year 1995. Our estimation is based mainly on data provided by Toyo Keizai and the results of the Establishment and Enterprise Census of Japan, which is conducted by the Japan Management and Coordination Agency. Using our estimates, we compare Japan's establishment transactions with Japan's cross-border transactions at the 3-digit industry level. We also compare Japan's purchases of services from foreigners with U.S. purchases from foreigners. Although our new estimates possibly contain large estimation errors due to statistical deficiencies, we think that our results are more

comprehensive and balanced than existing statistics on this issue.

According to our new statistics, actual foreign activities in Japan are much greater than those reported in MITI's survey Gaishi-kei Kigyo Doko Chosa (Survey on Trends of Business Activities by Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms).

Probably the most commonly cited statistics on Japan's inward direct investment are those provided by the Ministry of Finance. (MOF 1999, the data are also available in OECD 1999). According to these data, Japan's outward direct investment stock in the service sector is twelve times greater than the corresponding inward direct investment stock (Table 1). Since no other OECD country has an imbalance of this magnitude, it has been argued that the imbalance indicates the closedness of the Japanese economy to inward direct investment in the service industries (GATT 1995, MITI 1998, Stern 2000).

INSERT TABLE 1

But since the MOF data only record cross-border capital flows, they do not necessarily correspond to the extent of affiliates' actual activities. For example, because of Japanese regulations, many foreign banks and insurance companies entered the Japanese market by setting up branches rather than founding subsidiary companies. This fact makes their investment flows relatively small compared with the actual magnitude of their affiliates' activities measured by sales or employment. According to our new statistics, imbalances between the activities of JAFF and those of FAJF are smaller than those indicated by the MOF FDI statistics. In terms of employment, the JAFF/FAJF ratio is 0.23.

Although our new estimates of foreign activities in Japan are larger than existing estimates, we found that foreign activities in Japan are substantially smaller than foreign activities in the U.S.. Japan's ratio of purchases from affiliates to total domestic output is 1.3% which is less than one third of the corresponding U.S. ratio, 4.1%. We also found that

compared with the U.S., Japan's purchases from foreigners are concentrated in a limited number of industries. Four industries, finance, wholesale trade, water transportation, and air transportation account for about 60% of Japan's total purchases of services from foreigners.

Since our data are compiled at the 3-digit industry level, we can use them for cross-industry regression. We estimated an empirical model explaining the determinants of Japan's inward FDI penetration. We found that inward FDI penetration is closely related to the market structure of industries. Japan's inward FDI penetration is relatively high in industries which have a higher entry rate, higher sales concentration, and a lower presence of "Keiretsu."

The paper is organized as follows: In the succeeding section, we discuss existing data on Japan's international transactions of services through affiliates. In section 3, we explain how we estimated sales and employment by JAFF and FAJF in the service sector. In section 4, we provide a general overview of Japan's international transactions of services using our new statistics. In section 5, we undertake a preliminary econometric investigation of the determinants of Japan's FDI penetration in the service sector at the 3-digit industry level.

2. Existing Data on Japan's International Transactions of Services through Affiliates

In the case of inward direct investment in non-manufacturing industries, MITI's survey Gaishi-kei Kigyo Doko Chosa (Survey on Trends of Business Activities by Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms) is the only official source on the sales and employment of

foreign firms' Japanese subsidiaries.¹ According to this survey, foreign firms' Japanese subsidiaries employed only 63,000 workers in non-manufacturing industries at the end of March 1998. The survey is loosely based on the US department of Commerce's survey of foreign direct investment in the United States, but MITI's survey has the following serious drawbacks for the purpose of studies on inward direct investment in the service sector.

(i) It is not mandatory and suffers from a low response ratio. In the case of the survey for the 1997 fiscal year, only 49.5% of the questionnaires sent out were returned to MITI. Moreover, usually not all the questions in the returned questionnaires are answered.

(ii) The survey does not cover subsidiaries in real estate, finance, and insurance.

(iii) The survey covers only Japanese companies which are more than one-third foreign-owned and does not cover branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms.

(vi) In MITI's report on inward FDI, all the data on non-manufacturing subsidiaries are aggregated into three industries only: commerce, services, and others (agriculture, construction, etc.). In the case of outward FDI, the data on non-manufacturing subsidiaries are aggregated into six industries: agriculture, mining, construction, commerce, services, and others. No data at a more detailed industry level are published.

¹ MITI's other survey, Kigyo Katudo Kihon Chosa (Basic Survey on Business Activities by Enterprises), also collects data on JAFF as a part of information obtained on Japanese firms. But this survey covers only the manufacturing and commerce sectors. Moreover, the response ratio of this survey is also low. In 1999, the Japan Management and Coordination Agency added questions on whether firms were majority owned by foreigners or not to their survey, Service-gyo Kihon Chosa (Basic Survey on Service Sector), which covers several service industries. A coming report of this survey probably includes some information on JAFF.

Because of the low response ratio and the exclusion of real estate, finance, and insurance, the number of subsidiaries covered by MITI's survey is substantially smaller than other surveys on foreign subsidiaries conducted by private companies. For example, the number of non-manufacturing subsidiaries covered by the MITI survey for 1997 was only 983.²

The results of this survey on Japanese companies majority-owned by foreign firms are reproduced in OECD (1999). In the case of inward direct investment in Japan's service sector, the formats of tables in the OECD publication are quite misleading. According to the publication, Japanese subsidiaries in finance, insurance, real estate, and business services which were majority-owned by foreign firms employed only 3,800 workers in 1996. But this number is in fact only for business service subsidiaries, because MITI's survey does not cover the other sub-sectors.

Concerning foreign subsidiaries of Japanese firms, MITI conducts the survey Kaigai Jigyo Katudo Doko Chosa (Survey on Trends of Japan's Business Activities Abroad), which covers foreign subsidiaries with more than a 10% Japanese ownership.. This survey has similar setbacks as the survey on inward direct investment. It suffers from a low response ratio and does not cover Japanese-owned subsidiaries in the finance and insurance sector. According to this survey, foreign subsidiaries of Japanese firms employed 487,000 workers in non-manufacturing sectors, excluding agriculture, fishery, and mining at the end of March, 1998.

² Mainly focusing on manufacturing sectors, Kimura and Baldwin (1996) estimated sales and procurements by JAFF and FAJF using the results of MITI's surveys. They did not make adjustments to account for these problems.

Compared with these surveys by MITI, Toyo Keizai's micro-data, Gaishi-kei Kigyo Soran: CD-ROM-ban (Directory of Japanese Subsidiaries Abroad: CD-ROM version) and Kaigai Shinshutsu Kigyo Soran: CD-ROM-ban (Directory of Japanese Subsidiaries Abroad: CD-ROM version) have a substantially broader coverage of subsidiaries. Toyo Keizai conducts its own surveys for this database.³ Toyo Keizai also uses additional data such as financial reports for non-responding firms. The data covers all industries. In principle, the Toyo Keizai data on inward FDIs cover subsidiaries with a 49% or higher foreign ownership. But in the case of listed or large subsidiaries, the data covers those with a 20% or higher foreign ownership. The data on outward FDI primarily covers foreign subsidiaries with a 20% or higher Japanese ownership in principle. Judging by the number of subsidiaries and number of workers employed by subsidiaries, the coverage of the Toyo Keizai data is much broader than that of MITI. In the case of foreign firms' Japanese subsidiaries in non-manufacturing sectors excluding the primary sector, the Toyo Keizai data for 1997 cover 2,456 subsidiaries, which employed 204,000 workers.⁴ In the case of foreign subsidiaries of Japanese firms in non-manufacturing sectors excluding the primary sector, the data for 1995 cover 10,378 subsidiaries, which employed 865,000 workers.

³ In the case of inward FDI, Toyo Keizai and Dun & Bradstreet Japan Ltd. jointly conduct their surveys for this database.

⁴ A private company, Teikoku Data Bank Ltd. provides a database, Cosmos which covers 1.1 million Japanese firms for 1999. In the case of the non-manufacturing sector, the database contains information on 1,236 firms which were more than one quarter foreign-owned. The database was too expensive for us to use for this research. Some statistics on these firms are available at <www.tdb.co.jp>.

3. Estimation of Sales and Employment by JAFF and FAJF in Service Sector

We use Toyo Keizai's data as the basic statistics for our estimation. Sales and employment data for Japanese affiliates of foreign firms (JAFF) and foreign affiliates of Japanese firms (FAJF) in service sectors at the 3-digit level are estimated for the year 1995. We chose 1995 because the most recent I-O tables (Japanese Government 1998) are available for this year.

Although the coverage is broader, the Toyo Keizai data have several shortcomings. We revised the data using additional statistics in the following way. (For details regarding the estimation procedures, please see Appendix A.)

(i) Branches and Other Establishments Directly Owned by Foreign Firms

In the case of the banking and insurance sector, the Toyo Keizai data cover Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms. However, the data only partially cover such establishments in other sectors. Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency (1998) records the number of workers employed by Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms at the 4-digit industry level.⁵ We used these data for estimations on Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms. In the case of outward investment, Toyo Keizai's

⁵ Jigyosho-Kigyo Tokei Chosa (Establishment and Enterprise Census of Japan, conducted by Japan Management and Coordination Agency, is the most basic and important survey on Japanese establishments and covers all the industries. The survey collects both data on establishments and data on enterprises and these two sets of data are linked. In the survey, companies are asked whether they are majority owned by foreign firms or not. Therefore the data collected in this survey are ideal for a compilation of statistics on the number workers employed by all the JAFF. But such statistics are not included in the report on this survey and we did not have enough time to get access to micro-data

database does not cover such establishments.⁶ Since we did not revise the Toyo Keizai data for outward FDI on this issue, our data on FAJF underestimate actual figures.

(ii) Estimation of Sales

Although for most subsidiaries, the number of workers is reported in the Toyo Keizai data, information on sales is not available for many subsidiaries. In the case of Japanese subsidiaries of foreign firms we calculated each industry's average value of sales per worker from data on subsidiaries, for which both the number of workers and the sales were available. We used these values in order to estimate the sales of subsidiaries for which data on sales were not available in the Toyo Keizai database and sales by Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms. In the case of foreign subsidiaries of Japanese firms, we used both micro data of MITI's survey and Toyo Keizai's data to get average values of sales per worker for subsidiaries at the 3-digit industry level. Using these values, we estimated the sales of subsidiaries for which information on sales were not available in the Toyo Keizai database.

For wholesale and retail trade and financial intermediary services, sales are not a suitable measure of activities. In the case of trade services, we estimated the distribution margins of JAFF. Using 1995 I-O tables, we calculated the average values of distribution margins per worker in the wholesale and retail trade sectors. Multiplying the total number of workers of JAFF by these average values, we derived our estimations for their distribution margins. In the case of subsidiaries in financial intermediation services, following Toyo Keizai, we use current incomes instead of sales as a measure of activities.

of the survey.

⁶ The printed version of the Touyou Keizai database on outward FDI covers these data. But we did not have enough time to make use of the data.

(iii) Industry Classification

Toyo Keizai's industry classification, which has 31 non-manufacturing sectors, is not detailed enough for our analysis.⁷ We therefore re-classified all subsidiaries into one of 51 sectors using information on subsidiary's line-of-business, which is included in the Toyo Keizai data. Table 2 shows the correspondence between our own classification and several other standard classifications.^{8,9} In our estimation, affiliates are classified according to their primary industry. Therefore, services supplied by JAFF that are engaged in industries that are not classified as "services" are excluded from our estimation. For example, computer-related services provided by computer makers are not included. In the case of the U.S., sales of services by foreign firms' affiliates in manufacturing industry accounted for 6% of total sales of services by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates in 1996 (U.S. Department of Commerce 1999). The data on the sales of "services" by JAFF in non-service sector are available from MITI (1998). We found that such sales were negligible. The data on the sales of "services" by FAJF in non-service sectors are only available for U.S. affiliates. According to U.S. Department of Commerce (1999), sales of services by affiliates of Japanese firms in manufacturing industry accounted for 4% of total service

⁷ Toyo Keizai's classification contains 11 wholesale trade sectors. For the other non-manufacturing subsidiaries, it contains only 20 sectors.

⁸ We aimed at setting the target of our analysis as broad as possible. Our classification includes all the non-manufacturing industries except agriculture, fishery, forestry, and mining. Our data cover electricity, gas, and water supply, which are not covered by GATS, and agricultural services and ship and aircraft repairing, which are not classified in the service sector in Standard Industrial Classification for Japan (Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency 1993).

⁹ For definitions of industries in Japan's, the U.S., and the GATT Secretariat's classifications systems, see United Nations (1991), GATT (1991), Statistics Bureau of Japan Management and Coordination Agency (1993), MITI (1995), Japanese Government (1998), and Nijhowne and Usher (1999)

sales of Japanese firms' U.S. affiliates in 1996. Our estimates on service sales by FAJF are probably smaller than the actual values because of this problem. There are several other industry classification problems in our estimations. For example, since foreign firms supply legal and accounting services to Japan mainly through consulting firms, such activities are classified as "other business services" instead of "legal and accounting services."

INSERT TABLE 2

(iv) Definition of Nationality

As we have already explained, Toyo Keizai adopts multiple criteria in the coverage of Japanese subsidiaries. For listed or unlisted but large subsidiaries the cut-off capital participation rate is 20%. For unlisted and small subsidiaries the cut-off rate is 49%. If we used these data without adjustment, we might get biased results. In order to solve this problem, we calculated two sets of estimations for JAFF, one for JAFF with a 49% and higher foreign capital participation rate plus all the other establishments directly owned by foreign firms and the other for JAFF which include all the JAFF recorded in the Toyo Keizai database plus all the other establishments directly owned by foreign firms.

(v) Cross-Border Transactions of Services by Affiliates

In our estimation, we did not take account of cross-border transactions of services by affiliates. JAFF provide services not only to Japanese customers but also to foreigners. FAJF export their services to Japan. To get consistent statistics, we should subtract these values from sales by JAFF and sales by FAJF respectively. Similarly, Japan's service imports include imports by JAFF and Japan's service exports include exports to FAJF. To avoid double-counting and to make statistics of cross-border transactions of services consistent with our estimates of sales by affiliates, we should subtract these values from

Japan's service imports and exports.¹⁰ As Table 3 shows, JAFF and FAJF in service sectors are quite active in international transactions. But there is no data on what percentage of imports and exports by affiliates are service transactions. And there is no data at a more detailed industry classification level. Because of these deficiencies of the statistics, we could not adjust for this factor.

INSERT TABLE 3

Panel A of Table 4 presents the estimates of sales and employment by JAFF and FAJF.

INSERT TABLE 4

In order to compare our estimates on establishment transactions with Japan's cross-border transactions and the size of each industry, we adjusted the data of Japan's 1995 I-O tables to our definitions of sales and industry classifications. Panel B of Table 4 presents data on Japan's cross-border transactions of services and sales and employment of Japan's service industries. In the I-O tables, the output level of the financial sector is measured by imputed interests and financial transaction fees. We replaced this with the financial sector's total current income which is reported in MOF (various years) and the financial report of each firm.

The Japanese government estimates data on sectoral service trade for the I-O tables, using several sources including balance of payments data for internal use which is confidential and more detailed than publicly available statistics (Kuwabara 1989). In principle, I-O table data on services consist of "special trade (cross-border trade)" and "direct purchases" and do not include factor incomes, such as compensation of employees

¹⁰ To be more rigorous, we should also take account of transactions among JAFF and transactions among FAJF. Kimura and Baldwin (1996) make this point.

and construction services provided by non-residents. For trade in construction services, we used data reported in the balance of payments statistics. We did not take account of compensation of employees since detailed industry level data were not available.¹¹

In order to compare Japan's purchases of services from foreigners with U.S. purchases, we adjusted corresponding U.S. statistics for the year 1992 which are reported in U.S. Department of Commerce (1995a, 1995b) to our definition of sales and industry classifications. The results are reported in Table 5. We should note that U.S. data on inward direct investment cover all the subsidiaries that are more than 10% foreign-owned, i.e. the coverage of U.S. data is broader than Japan's data in the case of purchases from affiliates.

INSERT TABLE 5

4. An Overview of Japan's International Sales and Purchases of Services

According to our new statistics (Table 4), JAFF in the service sector employed 199,000 workers in 1995, which is about three times greater than the number reported in MITI (1999).

Imbalances between the activities of JAFF and those of FAJF are also smaller than those reported in the MOF FDI statistics. In terms of employment, the JAFF/FAJF ratio is 0.23 (=199,000/865,000). In terms of sales, the ratio is 0.32 (7.6 trillion yen/23.8 trillion yen). The MOF statistics exaggerate the gap, probably because for the following reasons.

¹¹ According to Karsenty (1999), compensation of employees accounts for only 1.4% of world total international transactions in services. But in several industries, such as amusement and recreation, this mode of transactions probably plays a substantial roles.

First, during the second half of the 1980's, Japanese firms engaged in a large amount of FDI in the tertiary sector especially in the U.S.. Stock and real estate bubbles in Japan at this period enabled real estate companies, general construction companies, institutional investors, and other small investors to borrow large funds to invest in foreign real estate (Wilkins 1990, Kenneth Leventhal & Company, 1993). During this period, Japanese firms in the tertiary sector, especially banks and general construction companies, also expanded their business in purely domestic markets in foreign countries such as retail banking in California or Britain or the development of shopping malls in the U.S. (Wilkins 1990, Graham and Krugman 1991). Since a substantial part of FDI in the real estate sector was conducted as portfolio investment, activities by affiliates measured by sales or employment are relatively small compared with capital flows. And although many of Japan's FDI projects in the tertiary sector resulted in failure afterward, withdrawals of equity investment or repayments of loans or bonds are not subtracted from the MOF statistics, which are gross data. These factors exaggerate Japan's outward FDI in the MOF statistics.

Second, as we have already pointed out, because of Japanese authorities' regulations, many foreign banks and insurance companies entered Japan through setting up branches instead of founding subsidiary companies. This fact makes their investment flows relatively small compared with the actual sizes of their affiliates' activities measured by sales or employment.

Using Table 5, we can compare Japan's and America's purchases of services from foreigners. For the service sector as a whole, Japan's ratio of imports to total domestic output is 2.1%, which is almost at a same level as the corresponding U.S. ratio, 2.0%. But in the case of purchases through establishment transactions, Japan's ratio of purchases from affiliates to total domestic output is 1.3% which is less than one third of the corresponding

U.S. ratio, 4.1%. It seems that Japan's market for services is more closed for establishment transaction than for cross-border transactions.

In order to test whether Japan's market for services is more closed for establishment transactions than for cross-border transactions, we estimated gravity models both for the direction of U.S. service exports and the regional distribution of sales of services by U.S. firms' foreign affiliates.^{12, 13} The results are summarized in Table 6. The dependent variables are the logarithm of U.S. exports and sales by affiliates. As explanatory variable, we use the logarithm of each country's GDP, the logarithm of per capita GDP, the logarithm of distance from the U.S., and a dummy for Japan. The equations are estimated for 1992 and 1997. The Japan dummies are not significant both in the U.S. export equations and in sales-by-affiliates equations. In other words, we cannot conclude that Japan's market for services is significantly more closed to sales by U.S. firms than other countries' markets. But it seems that the signs of the estimated coefficients of Japan dummies are consistent with our findings from the U.S.-Japan comparison based on Table 5. The coefficients of the Japan dummies take a positive value in the case of the export equations and a negative value in the case of equations for sales by affiliates. The results imply that Japan's purchases of services through establishment transactions from U.S. firms in 1997 were about 50% less than the predicted value.

¹² There are several empirical studies which estimated an econometric model explaining the regional distribution of U.S. direct investment abroad and found that a Japan dummy is negative and significant. But these studies are based either on data of FDI in manufacturing industries (Grubert and Mutti 1991) or on data of FDI in all the industries (Eaton and Tamura 1994). On this issue, also see Lawrence (1993) and Japan Development Bank (1997).

¹³ Francois (1999) estimates gravity models for the direction of U.S. exports of business and financial services and construction services.

INSERT TABLE 6

Next, we study Japan's purchases of services from foreigners by industry. Figure 1 shows the industry composition of Japan's purchases. Purchases are concentrated in a limited number of industries. Four industries, finance, wholesale trade, water transportation, and air transportation account for about 60% of Japan's total purchases of services from foreigners. In Figure 2, we compare Japan's and the United States' sectoral importance of purchases from foreigners, which we measure by a ratio of total purchases from foreigners to total domestic output. In Japan, differences in this ratio among industries are more remarkable than in the U.S.. Japan's variation coefficient of this ratio among industries is 2.84 compared to a variation coefficient of only 1.56 for the U.S..

INSERT FIGURE 1, FIGURE 2, AND FIGURE 3

Figure 3 shows Japan's "Revealed Comparative Advantage" measured as the ratio of net exports to total domestic output and the ratio of net purchases from affiliates (sales by FAJF minus sales by JAFF) to total domestic output. According to Figure 3, Japan is most competitive in industries which support Japan's international activities, such as casualty insurance, other business services, agricultural services, financial intermediary services. Among all of Japan's FDI, investment in these kinds of supporting industries for Japan's international activities has the longest history. Japan's large trading companies (*sogo shosha*), banks, insurance companies, transportation companies started their FDI before the Second World War. The Japanese government sometimes backed up this type of investment. Figure 3 also shows that Japan is least competitive in air transportation, computer programming and software, and information services both in international trade and in establishment transactions.

As we have already seen, for the service sector as a whole Japan's ratio of purchases

from JAFF to total domestic output (we will call this ratio Japan's inward FDI penetration hereafter) is about one third of the corresponding U.S. ratio. Among our 51 service sector categories, in which categories is the Japanese market more closed to international establishment transactions than the U.S. market? Figure 4 shows the differences in Japan's inward FDI penetration and the corresponding U.S. penetration by industry. In order to minimize the bias in our cross-industry comparisons, we use the data for majority owned affiliates for Japan's penetration. We should note that the U.S. data cover all affiliates where the foreign ownership ratio is 10% or higher. There are some similarities between Figure 3 and Figure 4. Japan has a higher penetration ratio than the U.S. in air transportation, computer programming and software, and information services. Japan has a lower penetration ratio than the U.S. in supporting services for transport, hotels and lodging places, machine repairing services.

INSERT FIGURE 4

Are cross-industry differences in the two countries' inward FDI penetration ratios affected by differences in the two countries' restrictions on inward FDI? To answer this question, we compared the differences in the restrictiveness of the inward FDI regime with differences in inward FDI penetration ratios among industries. This can be found in Figure 5. Our data on the restrictiveness of the inward FDI regime were taken from Hardin and Holmes (1997).^{14, 15} As Figure 5 shows, there is no significant negative correlation

¹⁴ In addition to frequency measures of restrictiveness based on GATS schedules, which were started by Hoekman (1996), Hardin and Holmes (1997) took account of other information on government barriers to FDI. They obtained such information from APEC (1996), each country's action plans at APEC, and others. Data on restrictions in several public utility industries, such as electricity, gas supply, steam and hot water supply, and water supply and data on restrictions in private services industries are not available in Hardin and Holmes (1997). Both in the U.S. and in Japan these public

between the two variables.

INSERT FIGURE 5

So far, our analysis was static and mainly based on Japan's 1995 data. But we should note that FDI into Japan is growing at amazing speed. Table 7 shows MOF statistics on FDI flows into Japan. According to the statistics, the inward direct investment stock in Japan's non-manufacturing sector has grown six-fold in the last ten years. The total of FDI flows in the last three years is greater than the FDI stock at the end of the 1996 fiscal year. In recent years, the number of cases of cross-border M&A has been increasing especially.¹⁶ In 1999, AT&T and British Telecom jointly bought a combined 30% share of Nippon Telecom. A British company, Cable and Wireless acquired IDC (International Digital Communications) by a takeover bid.

INSERT TABLE 7

Probably the following two factors have contributed to the recent increase of inward FDI. First, in recent years, the Japanese government promoted important deregulatory and related measures in order to transform Japan's socio-economic system into a new system that is more open to the international community and based on the rules of self-

utility industries are classified as related to national security, public order, or public safety. Both countries consequently set strict regulations on FDI into these sectors (APEC 1996). So, we assumed that the differences of restrictiveness on inward FDI in these sectors are equal to 0. In the case of personal services, we assumed the differences between the two countries restrictiveness are equal to that of business services.

¹⁵ On other existing measures of impediments on service trade, see PECC (1995), Brown and Stern (1999), Kalirajan, McGuie, Nguyen-Hong, and Schuele (1999), Hoekman and Martin (1999), Hufbauer and Warren (1999), and Warren and Findlay (1999).

¹⁶ According to MITI (2000), there were 129 investments into Japan through cross-border M&A in 1999.

responsibility and market principles. As a part of this deregulation program, the Japanese government alleviated or abolished several regulations on inward FDI. For example, all restrictions on foreign ownership and on foreign board members in Type I telecommunications carriers (except for NTT and KDD), including their radio station licenses, removed in 1998. In 1999, all restrictions on foreign capital and the appointment of foreign directors in all cable TV businesses were removed. Second, the recent stagnation of Japan's land and stock prices created a kind of "fire-sale" situation, from which foreign investors benefited.¹⁷

As we have seen in section 2, MOF FDI statistics are not appropriate measures for JAFF's activities. So, using Toyo Keizai data, we compared JAFF's employment in 1997 with that in 1990. Table 8 and Figure 6 show changes in the number of workers employed by JAFF and changes in Japan's imports of services. According to Table 8, the number of workers employed by JAFF in non-manufacturing sectors excluding primary industries increased by 36%, which is substantially smaller than MOF FDI statistics indicate.¹⁸ According to MOF statistics, inward FDI stocks tripled from the end of 1990 to the end of 1997. Probably, MOF statistics exaggerate the increase of JAFF's activities in recent years.

According to Table 8 and Figure 6, increases of JAFF's employment in service sectors are quite uneven among industries. JAFF employment in retail trade, advertising, telecommunications, information services, and other business services has doubled, while that in wholesale trade, hotels & lodging places, and insurance industries were relatively

¹⁷ For more detail on Japan's recent deregulation measures, see Japan Investment Council (1999).

¹⁸ On the other hands, U.S. firms, for example, increased their sales of services through their affiliates in Japan by 122% in this period (U.S. Department of Commerce 1999).

stagnant.

INSERT TABLE 8 AND FIGURE 6

5. Econometric Analysis of Determinants of Inward FDI Penetration

As we have seen in the previous section, there are significant differences in inward FDI penetration in the various service industries. What industry characteristics affect the inward FDI penetration of each industry? In this section we conduct an empirical study on this issue.

This type of cross-industry analysis on FDI into Japan has been conducted by Lawrence (1993), Weinstein (1996), Nakamura, Fukao, and Shibuya (1995), and Horaguchi (1995).¹⁹ One of the most hotly debated issues in these studies was whether Japan's "Keiretsu" relationship impede inward FDI. It has been argued that "Keiretsu" relationships reduce inward FDI through cross share-holdings and long-term supplier relationships. Using MITI (1991) data on only ten industries, Lawrence (1993) did a cross-industry regression and found that "Keiretsu" relationships significantly impeded inward foreign direct investment. By constructing a panel data based on MOF data, Weinstein (1994) conducted a similar kind of regression and found that the coefficient on the shares of financial group member sales in each sector is negative but not significant in many cases. By using their newly compiled statistics on Japan's inward FDI penetration (the share of sales by JAFF in total sales) in 58 manufacturing industries from micro-data of MITI's Kigyo Katudo Kihon Chosa (Basic Survey on Business Activities by Enterprises),

¹⁹ In the case of FDI into the U.S., Ray (1989), Kogut and Chang (1991), and Pugel, Kragas, and

Nakamura, Fukao, and Shibuya (1995) conducted a cross industry regression. They found that sales concentration as measured by the Herfindahl index has significant negative effects on Japan's inward FDI penetration, while capital intensity and skilled-worker intensity have significant positive effects on the FDI penetration. They also found that Keiretsu variables and a government barrier dummy variable based on the OECD's Code of Liberalization of Capital Movements (various issues) does not have a significant effect on FDI penetration. Horaguchi (1995) also found that a coefficient on the "Keiretsu" share was not significant.

These previous empirical studies mainly focused on the manufacturing sectors. No empirical analysis on inward FDI penetration in the service sectors has been conducted. The lack of analysis on the service sectors is probably due to the deficiency of data as we have already suggested in Section 2.

In this section, we estimate an empirical model explaining the determinants of Japan's inward FDI penetration. The variables of this estimation are defined in Table 9. Further details on the definitions and sources of the variables are provided in Appendix A. We use Japan's FDI penetration ratio in the service industries as the dependent variable.²⁰

INSERT TABLE 9, 10

In cases where cross-border transactions of services are not difficult, multinational corporations will chose the location where the production costs are lowest.²¹ Therefore, the inward FDI penetration ratio will be affected by Japan's locational advantage for each

Kimura (1994) conducted similar types of cross-industry analyses.

²⁰ On the theoretical foundation of cross-industry estimation, see Kogut and Chang (1991), Petri (1991), and Lawrence (1993). On "Keiretu," also see Saxonhouse (1993).

²¹ Brainard (1993, 1997) discusses this issue for the case of manufacturing products. For the issue

industry. Since Japan's land prices and wages of unskilled workers are relatively high, Japan probably has a locational disadvantage for land intensive or unskilled-worker intensive industries. Consequently, we would expect negative coefficients for *UNSKIL* (unskilled-Labor intensity) and *LAND* (land intensity). In order to control for differences in the tradability of different services, we used *FDIUS* (U.S. inward FDI penetration) and *FDISHUS* (share of U.S. purchases from affiliates in total U.S. purchases from foreigners). We expect a positive coefficient for these two variables.

In order to know the effects of government regulations on inward FDI, we prepared three variables, *RINV* (Japan's FDI restrictiveness), *RRATIO* (the ratio of Japan's FDI restrictiveness to Japan's trade restrictiveness), and *RINVJAUS* (Japan's FDI restrictiveness minus U.S. FDI restrictiveness). We expect negative coefficients for these variables.

In order to take account of differences in market structure among industries, we used *GRP* (share of workers employed by Keiretsu firms), *ENT* (entry rate) and *CR3* (the top 3-firm concentration ratio). If "Keiretsu" impede inward FDI, we will have a negative coefficient for *GRP*. *ENT* will be higher, if entrance barriers to that market are low or the market is growing, so we expect a positive coefficient for *ENT*.²² If measures used by incumbent firms to block entry of potential new competitors also affect foreign firms, then industries with a high *CR3* value will have a low inward FDI penetration ratio. But if such measures do not affect foreign firms, then we might observe a positive coefficient. *CR3* might also indicate scale economy at the company level. In such a case, *CR3* would have a

of locational advantage, also see Dunning (1988).

²² We should note that since *ENT* covers new entries by foreign subsidiaries, the coefficient of *ENT* might be biased upwards.

positive coefficient.

If Japanese firms' productivity level is higher than that of foreign firms, Japanese firms will have a higher sales share in the world market and inward *FDI* will be limited. To control for this factor, we used *DPROD* (an index comparing Japan's productivity in each industry with the U.S. equivalent) which was taken from Kawai (1996). It is problematic to use this variable for the following reasons. First, since Japanese firms compete not only with U.S. firms but also with other countries' firms, *DPROD* is not an appropriate variable. Second, in Kawai's (1996) methodology, if Japan's absolute producer price level in one industry is higher than the corresponding U.S. price level and if this gap cannot be explained by Japan-U.S. differences in factor prices and prices of intermediate inputs, then Japan's productivity in that industry is inferred to be lower compared to the U.S.. But there is a possibility that Japan's high absolute price level (relatively low *DPROD*) might reveal either Japan's higher industry rent or Japan's higher fixed costs. Third, there might exist a reverse causality. High inward *FDI* penetration might increase *DPROD* through either reducing the industry rent or improving that industry's productivity.

Since there exists a lower bound, zero, for our dependent variable we conduct a Tobit estimation. The results are summarized in Table. 10. Among our 51 industries, we can not get several basic data for five industries, that is, research institutes on natural sciences, research institutes on social sciences and humanity, research within firms, private non-profit organizations' services, and agricultural services. Therefore, the maximum sample size is 46. The data for *LAND* and *CR3* are not available for another 13 industries.

In the case of locational advantage variables, the estimated coefficients of *UNSKIL* and *LAND* are negative as we expected but not significant. In the case of the variables

which stand for FDI restrictiveness, we do not get any significant coefficients. The coefficient of DPROD is positive and significant.

In the case of market structure variables, we get significant results. The estimated coefficients for ENT and CR3 are always positive and significant. Japan's inward FDI penetration ratio is relatively high for industries which have higher entry and higher sales concentration ratios. We find that the "Keiretsu" variable, GRP, has a negative and significant coefficient in many cases, suggesting that Keiretsu work as an impediment to inward FDI.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we estimated the sales and employment of Japanese affiliates of foreign firms (JAFF) and foreign affiliates of Japanese firms (FAJF) in the service sector at the 3-digit industry level for the year 1995.

We found that imbalances between activities of JAFF and FAJF are smaller than those reported in the MOF FDI statistics. In terms of employment, the JAFF/FAJF ratio is 0.23. We compared Japan's purchases of services from foreigners with U.S. purchases. For the service sector as a whole, Japan's ratio of imports to total domestic output is 2.1%, which is almost at a same level as the corresponding U.S. ratio, 2.0%. But in the case of purchases through establishment transactions, Japan's ratio of purchases from affiliates to total domestic output is 1.3% which is less than one third of the corresponding U.S. ratio, 4.1%. It seems that Japan's market for services is more closed for establishment transaction than for cross-border transactions.

We also found that compared with the U.S., Japan's purchases from foreigners are

concentrated in a limited number of industries. Four industries, finance, wholesale trade, water transportation, and air transportation account for about 60% of Japan's total purchases of services from foreigners. From the viewpoint of "Revealed Comparative Advantage," Japan is most competitive in industries which support Japan's international activities, such as casualty insurance, other business services, agricultural services, and financial intermediary services. Japan is least competitive in air transportation, computer programming and software, and information services both in international trade and in establishment transactions.

Using our cross-industry data, we estimated an empirical model explaining the determinants of Japan's inward FDI penetration. We found that inward FDI penetration is closely related to the market structure of industries. Japan's inward FDI penetration is relatively high in industries which have a higher entry rate, higher sales concentration, and a lower presence of "Keiretsu."

We should note that our new estimates possibly contain large estimation errors due to statistical deficiencies as we pointed out in section 3. We hope that the Japanese government will make greater efforts to improve its statistics on Japan's international sales and purchases of services. Some fundamental improvements can be achieved without great cost. For example, as we have already discussed in section 3, the Japanese government could easily compile reliable statistics on the number of workers employed by majority owned JAFF for all the industries at the 4-digit industry level by making use of the micro-data of Jigyosho-Kigyo Tokei Chosa (Establishment and Enterprise Census of Japan, conducted by Japan Management and Coordination Agency.

Appendix A: Description of Variables and Data Sources

Size of Industry:

Our data on total domestic output, total domestic demand, and number of workers for each industry were taken from 1995 Japan Input-Output Tables (Japanese Government: 1995). In I-O tables, the output level of the financial sector is measured by imputed income from interest and transaction fees. We replaced this with financial sector's total current income. We calculated the domestic total current income of the financial intermediary services industry by summing up all banks' current incomes, all securities companies' operating revenues, and all other financial institutions' operating revenues (MOF: various years).

Sales and Employment by JAFF (Japanese Affiliates of Foreign Firms):

Our data on the number of workers employed by foreign firms' Japanese subsidiaries were taken from the Toyo Keizai's database (various years). Our data on the number of workers employed in Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms were taken from the Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency (1998). We estimated the sales of those Japanese subsidiaries for which such data were not available in the Toyo Keizai database as well as the sales of Japanese branches and establishments directly owned by foreign firms.

For details of estimation procedures, please see Section 3.

Sales and employment by FAJF (Foreign Affiliates of Japanese Firms):

Our data on the number of workers employed by Japanese firms' foreign subsidiaries were taken from Toyo Keizai's database (various years). Using the Toyo Keizai database (various years), we estimated foreign subsidiaries' sales in the same way as JAFF's sales. Moreover, we refer to MITI's (MITI: 1999b) micro-data in our estimate of FAJF's sales when data from Toyo Keizai were not available. For details of the estimation procedures, please see Section 3.

Cross-Border Trade:

Our data on Japan's services imports and exports are primarily taken from statistics on Japan's special trade and direct purchases which are included in the 1995 Japan Input-Output Tables (Japanese Government: 1995).

In the context of our analysis, cross-border service trade statistics in Japan's I-O tables have the following shortcomings:

- i) Imports and exports in I-O tables do not include payments and receipts for construction services which, if provided by non-residents, should be considered as service imports.
- (ii) As merchandise imports are on a CIF basis, I-O output tables omit those services of transportation and insurance - that are associated with the import of goods and already included in the value of goods imports.
- (iii) The value of overseas whole-sellers' activities is included in the value of goods imports either on FOB basis or on CIF basis, while the value of domestic whole-sellers' activities for exported goods are properly summed up in the output of wholesale trade sector.

In order to solve these problems, we used Bank of Japan (various issues) data on trade of construction and civil engineering, water transportation, and air transportation services. For imports of wholesale trade services which are included in the value of goods imports, we estimated distribution margins in the following way. We calculated the ratio of distribution margins for exported goods to total exports on an FOB basis, and estimated margins on imported goods by multiplying imports on a FOB basis by the commercial margin ratio. We got the value of goods imports on a FOB basis from Bank of Japan (various issues).

In the case of financial intermediary services, we calculated a measure of import quantities which is comparable to our measure of activities for this sector, that is, current income. We derived it by multiplying this industry's import/output ratio of the I-O tables with this industry's total current income.

U.S. Imports and Total Domestic Output:

Our data on U.S. imports and total domestic output were taken from the 1992 U.S. Input-Output Tables (U.S. Department of Commerce: 1995b). Due to the same shortcomings as in the case of Japan's Input-Output tables, we revised the data of the I-O

tables, using data on cross-border transactions of U.S. International Services (U.S. Department of Commerce: 1999) for construction and civil engineering, railway passenger and freight transportation, road passenger and freight transportation, water and air transportation, and supporting services for transport. Data on imports of financial intermediary services, telecommunications, eating and drinking places, and hotels and lodging places were also taken from U.S. Department of Commerce (1999). For imports of wholesale trade services, we estimated distribution margins which are included in the value of goods imports in the same way as with Japan's imports. We should note that imports data in U.S. Department of Commerce (1999) excludes imports from U.S. firms' foreign affiliates.

Sales by Foreign Firms' U.S. Affiliates:

The data on sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates were taken from U.S. Department of Commerce (1995a). Sales data for industries where these are confidential are derived by multiplying the number of foreign-owned establishments by the sales/employee ratio of all establishments. As with the estimation of Japan's purchases from JAFF, sales of the wholesale and retail trade are adjusted to be based on margins, using U.S. total output and number of workers employed by all establishments in the United States.

Foreign Sales Penetration in the U.S. (FDIUS):

Foreign Sales Penetration is defined as follows: Foreign Sales Penetration=(Sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates + imports)/total domestic output. For financial intermediary services and insurance industries, definitions of output in U.S. I-O tables differ from those of sales in U.S. establishment data in the same way as in Japanese I-O tables. Hence we used the number of workers as a measure of activities in these industries as the following: Foreign Sales Penetration = (the number of workers employed by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates / total number of workers) + (the value of imports / total domestic output). The measure of U.S. inward FDI penetration (*FDIUS*) for these industries is defined as the ratio of number of workers employed by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates) to the total number of workers.

Share of Sales through Affiliates in Total U.S. Purchases from Foreigners (FDISHUS):

FDISHUS is defined as follows: $FDISHUS = \text{Sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates} / (\text{Sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates} + \text{imports})$. For financial intermediary services and insurance industries, as with U.S. inward FDI penetration (*FDIUS*), we used the number of workers as a measure of these industries' activities.

Japan's Inward FDI Penetration (FDIJA):

Share of sales by majority-owned JAFF in Japan's total domestic demand in 1995. Our data on Japan's total domestic demand were taken from the 1995 Japan I-O Tables (Japanese Government: 1995).

Unskilled Labor Intensity (UNSKIL):

UNSKIL is defined as the ratio of the number of non-university graduate employees to the total number of employees in that particular industry. The data were taken from Statistics Bureau, Japan Prime Minister's Office (1995) and Policy Planning and Research Department, Minister's Secretariat, Japan Ministry of Labor (1996).

Land Intensity (LAND):

Our data on *LAND* is taken from Development Bank of Japan (2000) and Nikkei QUICK Information Technology (2000). We first calculated the ratio of the book value of owned land to the number of employees for each firm. *LAND* is a weighted average of the land/employee ratio in each industry. We used the number of employees of each firm as a weight.

Japan's FDI Restrictiveness (RINV):

Following Hoekman (1996), PECC (1995), and Hardin and Holmes (1997), we compiled an index for FDI restrictiveness (*RINV*) at the 3-digit industry level, using GATS (General Agreement on Trade in Services) schedules for Japan, Japan Investment Council (various years), and Japanese Government (various years).

Ratio of Japan's FDI Restrictiveness to Japan's Trade Restrictiveness (RRATIO):

RRATIO is the ratio of *RINV* to Japan's trade restrictiveness index. Japan's trade restrictiveness index is calculated in the same way as *RINV*.

Differences between Japan's and U.S. FDI Restrictiveness (RINVJAUS):

RINVJAUS is defined as the difference between Japan's and U.S. FDI restrictiveness, which was compiled by Hardin and Holmes (1997).

Productivity (DPROD):

DPROD is defined as the productivity of a particular industry in Japan relative to that in the U.S.. The data are based on Kawai (1996). For this data, also see Kawai and Urata (1997).

Entry Rate (ENT):

The data on the entry rate is taken from the Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency (1998).

Keiretsu (GRP):

GRP is defined as the share of workers employed by *Keiretsu* firms in total workers. The data on *Keiretsu* were taken from Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha (1992, 1999). We treated all the firms which belong to horizontal or vertical *Keiretsu* groups and all the subsidiaries of such firms as *Keiretsu* firms.

Market Share of top 3 firms (CR3):

The *CR3* is defined as the top 3-firm concentration ratio. *CR3* for several industries are available in Fair Trade Commission of Japan (1997, 99). For other industries, we calculated it by using Development Bank of Japan (2000) and the 1995 Japan Input-Output Tables (Japanese Government: 1995).

References

- APEC (Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation) Committee on Trade and Investment, 1996, 1999, Guide to the Investment Regimes of Member Economies, APEC Committee on Trade and Investment, Singapore.
- Ascher, Bernard and Whichard, G. Obie, 1991, "Developing a Data System for International Sales of Services: Progress, Problems, and Prospects," in International Economic Transactions: Issues in Measurement and Empirical Research, eds. Peter Hopper and J. David Richardson, The University of Chicago Press.
- Bank of Japan, various issues, Balance of Payment Statistics Monthly, Tokyo: Bank of Japan (also available at <www.boj.or.jp>).
- Brainard, S. Lael, 1993, "A Simple Theory of Multinational Corporations and Trade with a Trade-off Between Proximity and Concentration," NBER Working Paper No.4269, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Brainard, S. Lael, 1997, "An Empirical Assessment of the Proximity-Concentration Trade-off Between Multinational Sales and Trade," The American Economic Review, Vol.87, No.4, pp.520-544.
- Brown, Drusilla K., and Robert M. Stern, 1999, "Measurement and Modeling of the Economic Effects of Trade and Investment Barriers in Services." Research Seminar in International Economics Discussion Paper No. 453, The Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (also available at <www.spp.umich.edu>).
- Development Bank of Japan, 1997, "Tainichi Chokusetu Tosi to Gaisi-kei Kigyo no Bunseki (The Analysis on Inward Foreign Direct Investment and Foreign-owned Affiliates in Japan)," Chosa, No. 225, Tokyo: Development Bank of Japan.
- Development Bank of Japan, 2000, Kigyo Zaimu Data Bank (Financial Data of Enterprises), Development Bank of Japan, Tokyo.
- Dunning, John. H., 1988, Explaining International Production, London: Unwin Hyman.
- Eaton, Jonathan and Akiko Tamura, 1991, "Bilateralism and Regionalism in Japanese and U.S. Trade and Direct Foreign Investment Patterns." Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, Vol.8, No.4, Dec., pp.478-510.
- EPA (Japan Economic Planning Agency), various years, SNA Sangyo Renkan Hyo (SNA

- Input-Output Tables), Economic Research Institute, Japan Economic Planning Agency, Tokyo.
- Fair Trade Commission of Japan, 1997, 1999, "Ruiseki Seisan Shuchu-do oyobi Herfindahl Sisuu narabini Ruiseki Shukka Shuchu-do: Heisei 5, 6-nen, Heisei 7, 8-nen (Cumulative Production Concentration Ratios, Herfindahl Concentration Indices, and Cumulative Shipment Concentration Ratios: 1993, 94, 1995, 96)." Fair Trade Commission of Japan, Tokyo.
- Francois, Joseph, 1999, "Estimates of Barriers to Trade in Services." mimeo.
- GATT (General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), 1991, "Services Sectional Classification List." GATT Secretariat's Documents MTN.GNS/W/120, July 10, Geneva: GATT Secretariat.
- GATT, 1995, Trade Policy Review: Japan 1994. Geneva: GATT Secretariat.
- Grubert, Harry and John Mutti, 1991, "Taxes, Tariffs and Transfer Pricing in Multinational Corporate Decision Making." The Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 73, pp.285-293.
- Hoekman, Bernard, 1996, "Assessing the General Agreement on Trade in Services." In the Uruguay Round and the Developing Countries, eds. Martin and Winters, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Hoekman, Bernard, and Will Martin, 1999, "Some Market Access Issues for Developing Countries in the Millennium Round: Results from Recent World Bank Research." mimeo, Washington D.C.: World Bank.
- Hoekman, Bernard, and Robert Stern, 1991, "Evolving Patterns of Trade and Investment in Services," in International Economic Transactions: Issues in Measurement and Empirical Research, eds. Peter Hooper and J. David Richardson, The University of Chicago Press.
- Hardin, Alexis and Leanne Holmes, 1997, "Services Trade and Direct Foreign Investment." Staff Research Paper, Canberra: Australia Industry Commission.
- Horaguchi, Haruo, 1995, "Tainichi Chokusetu Toshi: Keiretu ha Sogai Yoin ka (Inward FDI to Japan: Is Keiretu an impediment?)." In Industry Organization in Japan, ed. Masuo Uekusa, Tokyo: Yuhikaku.
- Hufbauer, Gary and Warren, Tony, 1999, "The Globalization of Services: What has

- Happened? What are the Implications?" Paper presented at the International Conference of Private Business Organizations, The Service Economy: An Engine for Growth and Employment, hosted by the Institut der Deutschen Wirtschaft Koln, in Dresden, June 3-4, 1999.
- Japan Investment Council, various years, Yearbook of the Japan Investment Council, Japan Investment Council, Tokyo (also available at <www.epa.go.jp>).
- Japanese Government, (various years), Japan's APEC Individual Action Plan, Japanese Government, Tokyo (also available at <www.mofa.go.jp>).
- Japanese Government, (1993), 1990 Input-Output Tables, Japanese Government, Tokyo.
- Japanese Government, (1998), 1995 Input-Output Tables, Japanese Government, Tokyo.
- Kalirajan, K., G. McGuie, D. Nguyen-Hong, and M. Schuele, 1999, "The Price Impact of Restrictions on Banking Services." in Impediments to Trade in Services: Measurement and Policy Implications, C. Findlay and T. Warren, eds., Routledge, Sydney (forthcoming).
- Karsenty, Guy, 1999, "Just How Big Are the Stakes? An Assessment of Trade in Services by Mode of Supply." In Services 2000: New Directions in Services Trade Liberalization, eds. Pierre Sauve and Robert M. Stern, Washington D.C.: Brookings Institution, forthcoming.
- Kawai, Hiroki, 1996, "Shijo Kaiho no Ippan-Kinko Bunseki (A General Equilibrium Analysis of Market Liberalization in Japan)." *Nippon Keizai Kenkyu*, No. 31, pp. 133-165.
- Kawai, Hiroki and Shujiro Urata, 1997, "The Cost of Regulation in the Japanese Service Industry." IDE APEC STUDY CENTER Working Paper Series 96/97-No.17, IDE APEC Study Center.
- Kenneth Leventhal & Company (1994) *Japanese Investment in U.S. Real Estate 1993*, Los Angeles, Cal.: Kenneth Leventhal & Company.
- Kimura, Fukunari and Robert E. Baldwin, 1996, "Application of Nationality-Adjusted Net Sales and Value added Framework: The Case of Japan." NBER Working Paper No.5670, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Kogut, Bruce and Sea Jin Chang, 1991, "Technological Capabilities and Japanese Foreign Direct Investment in the United States," The Review of Economics and Statistics,

Vol. LXXIII, No.3, pp. 401-413.

- Kravis, Irving B. and Lipsey Robert E., 1988, "Production and Trade in Services by U.S. Multinational Firms." NBER Working Paper Series, No.2615, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Kuwabara, Hiromi (1989) "Showa 60-nen Sangyo Renkan Hyo niokeru Tokushu Boeki Bunrui no Suikei ni Tuite (On Estimation of Special Trade for 1985 I-O Tables)." In Kokusai Sangyo Renkan Hyo no Sakusei to Riyo (Compilation and Use of International I-O Tables), eds. Takao Sano and Chiharu Tamamura, Tokyo: Institute of Developing Economics.
- Lawrence, Z., Robert, 1993, "Japan's Low Levels of Inward Investment: The Role of Inhibitions on Acquisitions." In Foreign Direct Investment, ed. Kenneth A. Froot, The University of Chicago Press.
- MITI (Japan Ministry of International Trade and Industry), 1995, The Japan-U.S. Input-Output Table, Tokyo: Tsusan Tokei Kyokai.
- MITI, 1991, Dai 24-kai Gaishi-kei Kigyo no Doko (The 24th Report on Trends of Business Activities by Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MITI, 1998a, Tsusho Hakusho Heisei 10-nen ban (White Paper on International Trade: 1998), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MITI, 1998b, Kigyo Katudo Kihon Chosa (Basic Survey on Business Activities by Enterprises), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MITI, 1999a, Dai 31-kai Gaisi-kei Kigyo no Doko (The 31th Report on Trends of Business Activities by Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MITI, 1999b, Dai 27-kai Wagakuni Kigyo-no Kaigai Jigyo Katudo (The 27st Report on Trends of Japan's Business Activities Abroad), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MITI, 2000, Tsusho Hakusho Heisei 12-nen ban (White Paper on International Trade: 2000), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- MOF (Japan Ministry of Finance), 1999, Zaisei Kinyu Tokei Geppo (Ministry of Finance Statistics Monthly), No.572, Dec.99, Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of

- Finance, (also available at <www.mof.go.jp>).
- MOF, Kinyu Nenpo Henshu Iin-kai (Editorial Committee on Annual Report of Financial Institutions), various years, Kinyu Nenpo (Annual Report of Financial Institutions), Tokyo: Kinyu Zaisei Jijyo Kenkyukai (Kinzai Institute for Financial Affairs, Inc.).
- MOF, Syoken Nenpo Henshu Iin-kai (Editorial Committee on Annual Report of Securities Companies), various years, Syoken Nenpo (Annual Report of Securities Companies), Tokyo: Kinyu Zaisei Jijyo Kenkyukai (Kinzai Institute for Financial Affairs, Inc.).
- Nakamura, Yoshiaki, Kyoji Fukao and Minoru Shibuya, 1995, *Tainichi-tosi ha Naze Sukunai ka? Keiretsu, Kisei ga Mondai ka? (Why the FDI into Japan is So Small? Is it Because of Keiretsu or of Restrictions?)*, Tsusho Sangyo Kenkyusho Kenkyu Series (MITI Research Institute Study Series), Tokyo: MITI.
- Nijhowne, Shaila and David Usher, 1999, "Classification, the Measurement of Production and International Trade in Services, and GATS." Paper presented at World Services Congress 1999, November 1-3, Atlanta, Georgia (also available at <www.worldservicecongress.com>).
- Nikkei QUICK Information Technology, 2000, Nikkei Kigyo Data (Nikkei Data of Enterprises) Tokyo: Nikkei QUICK Information Technology Co., Ltd.
- OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development), 1999a, International Direct Investment Statistics Yearbook 1999. OECD, Paris.
- OECD, 1999b, Measuring Globalization: The Role of Multinationals in OECD Economies 1999 Edition. OECD, Paris.
- PECC (Pacific Economic Cooperation Council), 1995, Survey of Impediments to Trade and Investment in the APEC Region, PECC, Singapore.
- Policy Planning and Research Department, Minister's Secretariat, Japan Ministry of Labor, 1996, Heisei 7-nen Chingin Kozo Kihon Chosa (Basic Survey on Wage Structure: 1995), Policy Planning and Research Department, Minister's Secretariat, Japan Ministry of Labor, Tokyo.
- Petri, Peter A. 1991, "Market Structure, Comparative Advantage, and Japanese Trade under the Strong Yen." In Trade with Japan: Has the Door Opened Wider?, ed. Paul Krugman, The University of Chicago Press.

- Prime Minister's Office, Government of Japan, 1995, Heisei 4-nendo Shugyo Kozo Kihon Chosa (1996 Employment Status Survey), Statistics Bureau, Japan Prime Minister's Office, Tokyo.
- Prime Minister's Office, Government of Japan, 1999, Kanko Hakusho Heisei 11-nen Ban (White Paper on Tourism: 1999), Tokyo: Printing Office, Japan Ministry of Finance.
- Pugel, Thomas A, Erick S. Kragas, and Yui Kimura, 1994, "Further Evidence on Japanese Direct Investment in U.S. Manufacturing." The Review of Economics and Statistics.
- Ray, Edward John, 1989, "The Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment in the United States, 1979-85." In Trade Policies for International Competitiveness, ed. R. C. Feenstra, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Saxonhouse, Gary R., 1993, "What Does Japanese Trade Structure Tell us About Japanese Trade Policy?" Journal of Economic Perspectives, vol. 7, pp. 21-44.
- Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency, 1993, Standard Industrial Classification for Japan: List of Classification Categories and Explanatory Notes, Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency , Tokyo.
- Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency, 1995, Jigyosho Tokei Chosa: Heisei 6-nen Jigyosho Meibo Seibi Chosa Hokoku (1994 Establishment Directory Maintenance Survey). Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency , Tokyo.
- Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency, 1998, Heisei 8-nen Jigyosho Kigyo Tokei Chosa (1996 Establishment and Enterprise Census of Japan). Statistics Bureau, Japan Management and Coordination Agency , Tokyo.
- Stern, M. Robert, 2000, "U.S.-Japan Trade Policy and FDI Issues," Paper presented at a Pre-Conference Meeting of Authors and Invited Guests, Analytic and Negotiating Issues in U.S.-Japan International Economic Relations, hosted at Keio University, May 19-20, Tokyo.
- Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, 1992, Kigyo Keiretu Soran 1990 (Directory of Corporate Groups 1990), Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, Tokyo.
- Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, 1999, Nippon-no Kigyo Group 1990: CD-ROM-ban (Japanese

- Corporate Groups 1990: CD-ROM version), Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, Tokyo.
- Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, various years, Kaigai Shinshutsu Kigyo Soran: CD-ROM-ban (Directory of Japanese Subsidiaries Abroad: CD-ROM version), Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, Tokyo.
- Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, various years, Gaishi-kei Kigyo Soran: CD-ROM-ban (Directory of Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms: CD-ROM version), Toyo Keizai Shinpo-sha, Tokyo.
- United Nations, Department of International Economic and Social Affairs, Statistical Office of the United Nations, 1991, "Provisional Central Product Classification." Statistical Papers, Series M, No. 77, United Nations, New York.
- United Nations, Transnational Corporations and Management Division, Department of Economic and Social Development, 1993, The Transnationalization of Service Industries, United Nations, New York.
- U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, 1986, Trade in Services: Exports and Foreign Revenues: Special Report. OTA-ITE-316. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995a, Foreign Direct Investment in the United States, Establishment Data for 1992 (available at <www.bea.doc.gov>).
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995b, Benchmark Input-Output Accounts of the United States, 1992 (available at <www.bea.doc.gov>).
- U.S. Department of Commerce, 1999, US International Services: Cross-Border Trade and Sales Through Affiliates, 1986-98 (available at <www.bea.doc.gov>).
- Warren, Tony, and Christopher Findlay, 1999, "How Significant are the Barriers? Measuring Impediments to Trade in Services." Paper presented at World Services Congress 1999, November 1-3, Atlanta, Georgia (available at <www.worldservicecongress.com>).
- Weinstein, David, 1996, "Structural impediments to Investment in Japan: What Have We Learned over the Last 450 Years?," in Foreign Direct Investment in Japan, eds, Masaru Yoshitomi and Edward M. Graham, Edward Elgar.
- Wilkins, Mira (1990) "Japanese Multinationals in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1879-1990," *Business History Review*, vol. 64, pp.585-629.

WTO (World Trade Organization), 1997, "Guide to Reading the GATS Schedules of Specific Commitments and the List of Article II (MFN) Exemptions," WTO, Geneva.

Table 1. Japan's Inward and Outward FDI: Position at the End of March 2000

(Billion Yen)

Panel A. Inward FDI

Industry	Inward FDI Stock
Construction	20
Real Estate	301
Commerce	1,731
Business and Personal Services	1,281
Transportation Services	42
Communication Services	403
Finance and Insurance	1,561
Others	164
Non-manufacturing Total	5,504
Manufacturing	4,495
Total Amount	9,999

Panel B. Outward FDI

Industry	Outward FDI Stock
Agriculture and Forestry	421
Fishery	243
Mining	5,122
Construction	811
Commerce	10,646
Finance and Insurance	19,418
Business and Personal Services	11,204
Transportation Services	5,444
Real Estate	12,483
Others	1,823
Non-manufacturing Total	67,615
Manufacturing	32,896
Branches	1,629
Total Amount	102,300

Cumulated value of FDI flows approved or notified from 1950 onwards.

Data Sources: MOF (1999) and <www.mof.go.jp>

Table 2. Correspondence Table: Fukao-Ito Classification in correspondence to 1995 Japan I-O Standard Classification, 1992 U.S. I-O Standard Classification, 1992 BEA Classification for FDI in the U.S. Establishment Data, GATT Secretariat Classification

Fukao-Ito Industry Code		1995 Japan I-O Standard Classification	1992 U.S. I-O Standard Classification	1992 BEA Classification for FDI in the U.S. Establishment Data			GATT Secretariat Classification	
Definition								
1	Construction and civil engineering	4111-011 4111-021 4112-011 4112-021 4121-011 4131-011 4131-021 4131-031 4132-011 4132-021 4132-031 4132-099	11 12	15 16 17	6522		3.A 3.B 3.C 3.D 3.E	
2	Electricity	5111-001 5111-041	680100 780200 790200	491 4931			171	*1.F.j
3	Gas supply	5121-011	680201 680202	492 4932			172	*1.F.j
4	Steam and hot water supply	5122-011	*680302	*496 *4953 *4959			173	*1.F.j
5	Water supply	5211-011 5211-021	*680301	494 4952			180	
6	Sewerage systems	5211-031					6.A	
7	Sanitary services	5212-011 5212-021	*680302	*496 *4953 *4959			6.B	
8	Wholesale trade	6111-011	69A	50 51			4.A 4.B	
9	Retail trade	6112-011	69B	52-57 59			4.C	*4.D
10	Financial intermediary services	6211-011 6211-012 6211-013 6211-014	70A	60 61 62			7.B.a-l	6.B
11	Life insurance	6212-011	*70B	*63 *64			7.A.a	*7.A.c,d
12	Casualty insurance	6212-021					7.A.b	*7.A.c,d
13	Real estate	6411-011 6411-021 6421-011	710100 710201	65			1.D	
14	Railway passenger transportation	7111-011 7111-012	*650100	--			11.E.a	
15	Railway freight	7112-011					11.E.b	
16	Road passenger transportation	7121-011 7121-021 7131-011	650200 790100	411 412 413 4141 4142 415			11.F.a	*11.F.c
17	Road freight transportation	7122-011 7122-021 7132-011	650301 650302	*421 422			11.F.b	*11.F.c
18	Water transportation	7141-011 7142-011 7142-012 7143-011	65C	441-444 448 449			11.A.a,b,c	11.B.a,b,c
19	Air transportation	7151-011 7151-012 7151-013 7151-014	65D	451 452 458			11.C.a,b,c	
20	Storage facility services	7171-011	650301 650302	*421 422			11.H.b	
21	Supporting services for transport	7181-011 7181-011 7189-011 7189-021 7189-031 7189-041 7189-051 7189-061 7189-099	650701 650702 750003 790300	47 417 423 752			1.F.q 9.B 9.C 11.A.e,f 11.B.e,f 11.C.e 11.E.c,e 11.F.e 11.H.a,c,d 11.I	
22	Postal service	7311-011	780100	--			2.A	2.B
23	Telecommunications	7312-011 7312-021 7312-031 7319-099	660100	481 482 489			2.C	
24	Broadcasting	7321-011 7321-021 7321-031	660200 670000	483 484			2.D.c,d	
25	Education	8211-011 8211-021 8213-011 8213-021 8213-031 8213-041	*770401 *770402 *770403 *770600 *730112	*841 *842 *823 *824 *829 *833 *8731 *8732			5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 10.C.a-n	
26	Research institutes (natural sciences)	8221-011 8221-031 8221-051					1.C.a	
27	Research institutes (social sciences & humanities)	8221-021 8221-041 8221-061					1.C.b	
28	Research within firms	8222-011					1.C.c	
29	Medical services	8311-011 8311-021 8311-031	*770100 *770200 *770301 *770303 *770305	*80			1.A.h,j 8.A 8.B 8.C	
30	Health and hygiene	8312-011 8312-021 8312-031					6.C	
31	Private non-profit organizations' services	8411-011 8411-021	770501 770502 770503 770504	--			12	
32	Advertising	8511-011 8511-012	73D	731			1.F.a	
33	Computer programming and software	8512-011	*730104	7371 7372 7373				
34	Information services	8512-012 8512-021	*730106	7374-76 7379 7381 7383			1.B.b 1.B.a,c,d,e 1.F.b 10.B	
35	Goods and equipment rental and leasing	8513-011 8513-012 8513-013 8513-014 8513-015	730107 760102	735 7377 784			1.E..a,b,d,e	
36	Automobile renting	8514-011	750001	751			1.E..c	
37	Automobile repairing	8515-101	750002	753 754			11.F.d	
38	Machine repairing	8516-101	720204	7378 76			1.F.i,n	
39	Building maintenance services	8519-011	730102	734			1.F.o	
40	Legal and accounting services	8519-021	730301 730303	81 872			1.A.a,b,c	
41	Civil engineering and construction services	8519-031	*730302	8712			1.A.d,f	
42	Personnel supply services	8519-041	730103	736			1.F.k	
43	Other business services	8519-099	730109 730111 *730302	733 7382 7389 8711 8713 8734 874			1.A.e,g 1.F.c-e,l,m 1.F.r,s,t 6.D 11.D 11.G.a,b	
44	Amusement and recreation services	8611-011 8611-021 8611-031 8611-041 8611-051 8611-061 8611-071 8611-099	760101 760201 760202 760203 760204 760205 760206	781 782 783 792 793 794 7992 7993 7996 7997 7999			2.D.a,b 10.A 10.D	
45	Eating and drinking places	8612-011 8612-021 8612-031	74	58			*4.D	
46	Hotels and lodging places	8613-011	72A	70 ex. 704			*9.A	
47	Individual educ. facilities	8619-081		7991			*12	
48	Other personal services	8619-011 8619-021 8619-031 8619-041 8619-051 8619-061 8619-071 8619-099	720201 720202 720203 720205 720300 730101 040002 730108	721 726 722 763 764 769 723 724 725 078 729 7384			1.F.p *12	
49	Agricultural services	0131-01 0131-02	770304 040001	07 excl 078			1.Ai	1.F.f
50	Ship repairing	3611-10	610100 610200	373			11.A.d	11.B.d
51	Aircraft repairing	3622-10	60	372			11.C.d	

Asterisks "*" in the table indicate that each industry with "*" corresponds to more than one industry in the Fukao-Ito classification.

Table 3. Cross-Border Transactions by Affiliates in Service Sectors: 1997

(%)

	Business and Personal Services	Transportation and Communication Services, etc.
Exports by JAFF/Total Sales by JAFF	3.9	26.8
Imports by JAFF/Total Procurement by JAFF	8.2	35.1
Exports to Japan by FAJF/Total Sales by FAJF	22.4	11.0
Imports from Japan by FAJF/Total Procurement by FAJF	11.0	13.3

Data Sources: MITI (1999a, b)

Table 4. Japan's International Purchases and Sales of Private Services, 1995
(in Millions of Japanese Yen)

<Panel A. Sales and Employment of Japanese Affiliates of Foreign Firms (JAFF) and Foreign Affiliates of Japanese Firms (FAJF) >

Industry	Japan's Purchases from JAFF and Employment by JAFF							Sales Abroad and Employment by FAJF		
	Sales by			No. of Workers Employed by			Sales by Majority-Owned Affiliates	No. of Workers Employed by Majority-Owned Affiliates	Sales by FAJF	No. of Workers Employed by FAJF
	Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms	Branches and Other Establishments of Foreign Firms	JAFF	Japanese Subsidiaries of Foreign Firms	Branches and Other Establishments of Foreign Firms	JAFF				
a	b	a+b	c	d	c+d			e		
1 Construction and civil eng.	108702	12758	121460	3732	438	4170	77653	2666	988468	35118
2 Electricity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2509	93
3 Gas supply	114	0	114	5	0	5	114	5	0	0
4 Steam and hot water supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 Water supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 Sewerage systems	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7 Sanitary services	985	0	985	43	0	43	0	0	64	14
8 Wholesale trade	905849	102752	1008601	73424	8309	81733	856791	69428	3462967	280691
9 Retail trade	28499	3240	31739	6555	732	7287	26226	6019	259118	59599
10 Financial intermediary serv.	172785	2226314	2399099	5100	14210	19310	2359257	17921	10074790	141857
11 Life insurance	82849	138034	220883	4308	4197	8505	220883	8505	1197510	17629
12 Casualty insurance	36093	69213	105306	1846	3540	5386	105306	5386	1371499	23291
13 Real estate	5204	5284	10487	65	66	131	10087	126	421965	12918
14 Railway passenger transp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15 Railway freight	253	0	253	3	0	3	253	3	12287	43
16 Road passenger transp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17 Road freight transportation	44691	1181	45871	530	14	544	45871	544	90571	4032
18 Water transportation	189465	49263	238728	2111	552	2663	230887	2570	292833	12783
19 Air transportation	255995	681959	937954	3144	8306	11450	915946	11189	89244	3731
20 Storage facility services	8432	0	8432	100	0	100	0	0	163716	7026
21 Supporting serv. for	40703	53800	94503	1743	2018	3761	94632	3501	502426	24726
22 Postal service	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23 Telecommunications	74654	1727	76380	1643	38	1681	37495	838	5594	343
24 Broadcasting	29171	0	29171	642	0	642	4544	100	1894	102
25 Education	0	5656	5656	0	247	247	5656	247	0	0
26 Research institutes (natural	0	5289	5289	0	231	231	5289	231	0	0
27 Research institutes (soc. sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 Research within firms	2633	0	2633	115	0	115	2633	115	90774	3852
29 Medical services	3934	328	4262	336	28	364	2318	104	7810	322
30 Health and hygiene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	582	98
31 Private non-profit org. serv.	96	0	96	6	0	6	96	6	0	0
32 Advertising	262323	2394	264716	1863	17	1880	258194	1824	157609	4736
33 Computer prog. & software	612381	28317	640698	10647	503	11150	519999	8827	66986	4077
34 Information services	406130	43936	450067	9354	1012	10366	286970	6158	188135	105475
35 Goods & equip. rental &	12754	916	13669	557	40	597	13669	597	184871	9833
36 Automobile renting	1076	0	1076	47	0	47	1076	47	7356	590
37 Automobile repairing	206	572	778	9	25	34	778	34	9097	1070
38 Machine repairing	8678	5014	13692	379	219	598	13692	598	4167	1206
39 Building maintenance serv.	8220	0	8220	359	0	359	8220	359	1407	1600
40 Legal & accounting serv.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	28
41 Civil eng. & construct. serv.	687	7121	7808	30	311	341	7808	341	1401	30
42 Personnel supply services	25526	35249	60775	848	1171	2019	51250	1603	8644	281
43 Other business services	126308	59528	185836	5115	2467	7582	162210	6528	3605373	34391
44 Amusement & recreation	47930	34398	82328	673	483	1156	82328	1156	71319	5874
45 Eating and drinking places	419862	6525	426387	7979	124	8103	233924	4620	77007	22545
46 Hotels and lodging places	53441	10349	63791	2334	452	2786	14700	642	270901	40143
47 Individual educ. facilities	29184	3084	32268	1268	134	1402	8662	371	730	76
48 Other personal services	36149	234	36382	2011	13	2024	36199	2016	5226	737
49 Agricultural services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111291	193
50 Ship repairing	0	74	74	0	3	3	74	3	40370	4009
51 Aircraft repairing	0	436	436	0	11	11	436	11	0	0
Total	4041960	3594945	7636905	148923	49911	198834	6702126	165238	23848641	865162

For data sources, see Appendix A.

Table 4. Japan's International Purchases and Sales of Private Services, 1995 --- Continued ---
(in Millions of Japanese Yen)

<Panel B. Cross-Border Trade, Size of Industry, and "Revealed Comparative Advantage">

Industry	Cross-Border Trade		Size of Industry		Japan's International Purchases and Sales		"Revealed Comparative Advantage"	
	Imports	Exports	Total Domestic Output	Number of Employees	Japan's Purchases from Foreigners	Japan's Sales to Foreigners	(Sales by FAJF - Sales by JAFF)/ Total Domestic Output (%)	(Exports - Imports)/ Total Domestic Output (%)
	f	g	h		a+b+f	e+g	(%)	(%)
1 Construction and civil eng.	301900	620000	88149287	7046117	423360	1608468	0.984	0.361
2 Electricity	274	24593	16737515	13472	274	27102	0.015	0.145
3 Gas supply	904	131	1968145	49184	1018	131	-0.006	-0.039
4 Steam and hot water supply	0	0	104384	1778	0	0	0.000	0.000
5 Water supply	572	3130	2900361	91045	572	3130	0.000	0.088
6 Sewerage systems	69	483	1658461	34126	69	483	0.000	0.025
7 Sanitary services	0	415	3094654	256638	985	479	-0.030	0.013
8 Wholesale trade	2099751	3078626	63201010	5110711	3108352	6541593	3.883	1.549
9 Retail trade	10759	20952	39120545	8838477	42498	280070	0.581	0.026
10 Financial intermediary serv.	1676742	999376	56272142	1375573	4075841	11074166	13.640	-1.204
11 Life insurance	137151	4663	5275873	529579	358034	1202173	18.511	-2.511
12 Casualty insurance	60894	78437	3250105	191173	166200	1449936	38.959	0.540
13 Real estate	4491	5151	64185198	683186	14978	427116	0.641	0.001
14 Railway passenger transp.	81477	19061	6100164	267391	81477	19061	0.000	-1.023
15 Railway freight transportation	0	26	185463	9695	253	12313	6.489	0.014
16 Road passenger transp.	127869	21092	10184846	667492	127869	21092	0.000	-1.048
17 Road freight transportation	0	5901	17409419	1521601	45871	96472	0.257	0.034
18 Water transportation	2166300	1394300	4562409	192703	2405028	1687133	1.186	-16.921
19 Air transportation	1213000	728700	2414322	57735	2150954	817944	-35.153	-20.059
20 Storage facility services	0	125	1604686	122026	8432	163841	9.677	0.008
21 Supporting serv. for transport	247250	701474	7652467	467136	341753	1203900	5.331	5.936
22 Postal service	7413	9201	2142138	194657	7413	9201	0.000	0.083
23 Telecommunications	67630	38668	9941337	366386	144010	44262	-0.712	-0.291
24 Broadcasting	0	16	2679336	69143	29171	1910	-1.018	0.001
25 Education	156	36	22229403	2441916	5812	36	-0.025	-0.001
26 Research institutes (natural sci.)	29316	19602	1718560	196646	34605	19602	-0.308	-0.565
27 Research institutes (soc. sci.)	3309	1932	153952	18744	3309	1932	0.000	-0.894
28 Research within firms	0	0	9145081	578465	2633	90774	0.964	0.000
29 Medical services	748	59	29814230	2553400	5010	7869	0.012	-0.002
30 Health and hygiene	0	0	692307	73680	0	582	0.084	0.000
31 Private non-profit org. serv.	39342	47139	4658723	522564	39438	47139	-0.002	0.167
32 Advertising	337106	102314	6952700	193050	601822	259923	-1.541	-3.377
33 Computer prog. & software	59623	27653	4208484	373312	700321	94639	-13.632	-0.760
34 Information services	227355	111803	3356042	269379	677422	299938	-7.805	-3.443
35 Goods & equip. rental & leas.	226823	102787	9720931	198576	240492	287658	1.761	-1.276
36 Automobile renting	16	1	942393	29499	1092	7357	0.666	-0.002
37 Automobile repairing	236	120	6845341	668227	1014	9217	0.122	-0.002
38 Machine repairing	6	1	5960245	229443	13698	4168	-0.160	0.000
39 Building maintenance serv.	0	0	2458526	371067	8220	1407	-0.277	0.000
40 Legal & accounting serv.	127224	47240	2168840	274714	127224	47368	0.006	-3.688
41 Civil eng. & construct. serv.	153051	120264	4917179	547427	160859	121665	-0.130	-0.667
42 Personnel supply services	0	55	995809	232861	60775	8699	-5.235	0.006
43 Other business services	428333	296833	14164779	1595626	614169	3902206	24.141	-0.928
44 Amusement & recreation	218910	26493	13517060	846133	301238	97812	-0.081	-1.424
45 Eating and drinking places	954507	129314	22894947	3548471	1380894	206321	-1.526	-3.604
46 Hotels and lodging places	1633060	278316	7004908	592493	1696851	549217	2.957	-19.340
47 Individual educ. facilities	502	127	1972389	568397	32770	857	-1.599	-0.019
48 Other personal services	3528	985	8783951	1740629	39910	6211	-0.355	-0.029
49 Agricultural services	0	0	676113	88664	0	111291	16.460	0.000
50 Ship repairing	12892	38451	305995	12487	12966	78821	13.169	8.353
51 Aircraft repairing	10	8408	160514	4046	446	8408	-0.272	5.232
Total	12660499	9114454	597213669	46926940	20297404	32963095	2.715	-0.594

For data sources, see Appendix A.

Table 5 Purchases from Foreigners: U.S. (1992) - Japan (1995) Comparison

	Ratio of Imports to Total Domestic Output		Ratio of Sales by Affiliates of Foreign Firms to Total Domestic Output		Ratio of Total Purchases from Foreigners to Total Domestic Output [Foreign Sales Penetration]		Share of Imports in Total Purchases from Foreigners		Ratio of Sales by Majority-owned Affiliates of Foreign Firms to Total Domestic Output
	a		b		a+b				
	Japan	U.S.	Japan	U.S.	Japan	U.S.	Japan	U.S.	Japan
1 Construction and civil eng.	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.029	0.005	0.030	0.713	0.013	0.001
2 Electricity	0.000	0.004	0	0.002	0.000	0.006	1	0.631	0
3 Gas supply	0.000	0	0.000	0.035	0.001	0.035	0.888	0	0.000
4 Steam and hot water supply	0	0	0	0.041	0	0.041	n.a.	0	0
5 Water supply	0.000	0	0	0.015	0.000	0.015	1	0	0
6 Sewerage systems	0	0	0	0.015	0.000	0.015	1	0	0
7 Sanitary services	0	0	0.000	0.041	0.000	0.041	0	0	0
8 Wholesale trade	0.033	0.095	0.016	0.084	0.049	0.178	0.676	0.530	0.014
9 Retail trade	0.000	0	0.001	0.038	0.001	0.038	0.253	0	0.001
10 Financial intermediary serv.	0.030	0.003	0.043	0.066	0.072	0.069	0.411	0.037	0.042
11 Life insurance	0.026	0.005	0.042	0.072	0.068	0.077	0.383	0.064	0.042
12 Casualty insurance	0.019	0.005	0.032	0.072	0.051	0.077	0.366	0.064	0.032
13 Real estate	0.000	0	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.300	0	0.000
14 Railway passenger transp.	0.013	0.036	0	0	0.013	0.036	1	1	0
15 Railway freight	0	0.036	0.001	0	0.001	0.036	0	1	0.001
16 Road passenger transp.	0.013	0.041	0	0.026	0.013	0.067	1	0.608	0
17 Road freight transportation	0	0.008	0.003	0.021	0.003	0.028	0	0.269	0.003
18 Water transportation	0.475	0.315	0.052	0.055	0.527	0.370	0.901	0.852	0.051
19 Air transportation	0.502	0.076	0.388	0.020	0.891	0.095	0.564	0.791	0.379
20 Storage facility services	0	0.008	0.005	0.021	0.005	0.028	0	0.269	0
21 Supporting serv. for transp.	0.032	0.509	0.012	0.231	0.045	0.740	0.723	0.688	0.012
22 Postal service	0	0	0	0	0.003	0	1	n.a.	0
23 Telecommunications	0.007	0.034	0.008	0.005	0.014	0.039	0.470	0.862	0.004
24 Broadcasting	0	0	0.011	0.061	0.011	0.061	0	0	0.002
25 Education	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.012	0.027	0.723	0.000
26 Research institutes (natural	0.017	0.008	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.012	0.847	0.723	0.003
27 Research institutes (soc.	0.021	0.008	0	0.003	0.021	0.012	1	0.723	0
28 Research within firms	0	0	0.000	0.038	0.000	0.038	0	0	0.000
29 Medical services	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.149	0.004	0.000
30 Health and hygiene	0	0.000	0	0.006	0	0.006	n.a.	0.004	0
31 Private non-profit org. serv.	0.008	0	0.000	0	0.008	0	0.998	n.a.	0.000
32 Advertising	0.048	0.004	0.038	0.011	0.087	0.016	0.560	0.282	0.037
33 Computer prog. & software	0.014	0.002	0.152	0.042	0.166	0.044	0.085	0.041	0.124
34 Information services	0.068	0.002	0.134	0.042	0.202	0.044	0.336	0.041	0.086
35 leas.	0.023	0	0.001	0.074	0.025	0.074	0.943	0	0.001
36 Automobile renting	0.000	0	0.001	0	0.001	0.025	0.015	0	0.001
37 Automobile repairing	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.233	0.017	0.000
38 Machine repairing	0.000	0	0.002	0.081	0.002	0.081	0.000	0	0.002
39 Building maintenance serv.	0	0	0.003	0.049	0.003	0.049	0	0	0.003
40 Legal & accounting serv.	0.059	0.003	0	0.001	0.059	0.003	1	0.829	0
41 Civil eng. & construct. serv.	0.031	0.005	0.002	0.004	0.033	0.009	0.951	0.561	0.002
42 Personnel supply services	0	0.017	0.061	0.054	0.061	0.071	0	0.236	0.051
43 Other business services	0.030	0.004	0.013	0.052	0.043	0.057	0.697	0.079	0.011
44 Amusement & rec. serv.	0.016	0.002	0.006	0.040	0.022	0.043	0.727	0.056	0.006
45 Eating and drinking places	0.042	0.021	0.019	0.019	0.060	0.040	0.691	0.513	0.010
46 Hotels and lodging places	0.233	0.196	0.009	0.120	0.242	0.316	0.962	0.621	0.002
47 Individual educ. facilities	0.000	0	0.016	0.003	0.017	0.003	0.015	0	0.004
48 Other personal services	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.012	0.005	0.013	0.088	0.029	0.004
49 Agricultural services	0	0.001	0	n.a.	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0
50 Ship repairing	0.042	0.015	0.000	0.028	0.042	0.043	0.994	0.353	0.000
51 Aircraft repairing	0.000	0.119	0.003	0.027	0.003	0.146	0.022	0.816	0.003
Total	0.021	0.020	0.013	0.041	0.034	0.061	0.624	0.202	0.011

Note: The Correlation coefficient between Foreign Sales Penetration Ratio in Japan and the United States is 0.2968.
For data sources, see Appendix A.

Table 6. Determinants of U.S. Cross-Border Sales of Services and Sales of Services by Foreign Affiliates of U.S. Firms: Cross Country Estimation Based on Gravity Models

	Year 1992		Year 1997	
	ln (EX92)	ln (OFDI92)	ln (EX97)	ln (OFDI97)
ln (GDP92)	0.5577 (5.279)***	0.6543 (2.701)**		
ln (GDPPC92)	0.1783 (2.180)**	0.7330 (3.394)***		
ln (GDP97)			0.6054 (6.187)***	0.6441 (3.742)***
ln (GDPPC97)			0.1897 (2.523)**	0.6973 (5.432)**
ln (DIST)	-0.4460 (-1.747)*	0.3503 (0.480)	-0.3305 (-1.532)	-0.0184 (-0.036)
DJPN	0.7112 (1.093)	-0.6982 (-0.567)	0.4637 (0.810)	-0.6018 (-0.666)
_cons	8.3935 (3.217)***	-0.8909 (-0.117)	7.3418 (3.284)***	2.9577 (0.558)
No. of Obs.	32	25	32	25
F	21.23***	11.36***	22.59***	17.05***
Adj. R-squared	0.723	0.6333	0.7358	0.7279

Note: t-statistics are in parentheses.

**P=.05

***P=.01

Definition of variables:

EX92: U.S. cross-border sales of services in 1992

OFDI92: Sales of services by foreign affiliates of U.S. firms in 1992

EX97: U.S. Cross-border sales of services in 1997

OFDI97: Sales of services by foreign affiliates of U.S. Firms in 1997

GDP92: 1992 nominal GDP in U.S. dollars

GDPPC92: 1992 Nominal GDP per capita in U.S. dollars

GDP97: 1997 nominal GDP in U.S. dollars

GDPPC97: 1997 Nominal GDP per capita in U.S. dollars

DIST: Distance between each country's capital city and Washington D.C.

DJPN: Japan Dummy

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce (1999); IMF, *International Financial Statistics* (various issues).




Figure 1. Japan's International Purchases of Services, 1995




Figure 2.
Purchases from Foreigners: Japan (1995) and U.S. (1992)
Comparison





Figure 3. Japan's "Revealed Comparative Advantage"



(Japan's Purchases from Majority-owned Affiliates/Japan's Total Domestic Output) minus (U.S. Purchases from Affiliates/U.S. Total Domestic Output)

Figure 4. Purchases from Affiliates: Japan (1995) - U.S. (1992) Comparison




Figure 5.

FDI Restrictiveness and Purchases from Affiliates: Japan (1995) - U.S. (1992) Comparison

Table 7. FDI Flows into Japan

(Billion Yen)

Fiscal Year	1950-90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	Total
Construction	12.9	3.1	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.3	1.4	2.2	20.4
Real Estate	115.8	9.2	28.7	9.7	3.1	1.6	26.5	48.2	41.6	16.8	301.1
Commerce	416.6	104.4	148.9	94.7	107.9	67.9	166.4	99.6	175.9	348.5	1,730.9
Business and Personal Services	150.3	72.7	102.7	22.3	35.5	49.1	236.0	88.8	318.1	205.8	1,281.3
Transportation Services	19.8	3.5	2.4	4.6	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.4	6.1	2.2	42.0
Communication Services	20.8	13.2	6.0	2.9	2.9	5.3	2.1	3.3	16.8	330.0	403.3
Finance and Insurance	96.4	118.6	18.3	3.7	66.6	100.1	27.3	161.6	456.9	511.5	1,561.1
Others	110.4	1.1	1.7	25.1	0.1	3.2	0.2	8.7	11.1	2.5	164.1
Non-manufacturing Total	942.7	325.8	308.8	163.2	217.4	228.4	459.5	410.8	1,027.8	1,419.6	5,504.0
Manufacturing	1,665.8	252.8	200.7	168.6	195.1	141.2	311.1	267.4	312.6	979.7	4,495.0
Total Amount	2,608.5	578.4	509.4	331.8	412.6	369.7	770.7	678.2	1,340.4	2,399.3	9,999.0

FDI flows approved or notified from 1950 onwards.

Data Sources: MOF (1999) and <www.mof.go.jp>

Table 8. Recent Trends in JAFF's Employment and Japan's Imports: 1990 - 97

(in millions of yen)


Industry	No. of JAFF 1990	No. of JAFF 1997	No. of Workers Employed by JAFF 1990	No. of Workers Employed by JAFF 1997	Imports 1990	Imports 1997
Agriculture	2	1	154	198	2825836	2863929
Mining	0	2	0	70	7735520	8185535
Manufacturing	965	828	314299	286933	23265941	32849284
Services and others	2181	2456	150206	203940	9253169	7984945
Construction	13	18	2070	2026	n.a.	660100
Wholesale trade	1321	1380	75575	78900	327447	350615
Retail trade	23	46	2065	10910	n.a.	n.a.
Finance	215	248	19949	25356	700947	1090322
Insurance	22	37	11970	14298	54476	246100
Real estate	13	12	85	115	7726	n.a.
Eat. & drink. places	13	11	5281	8388	813644	127748
Advertising	23	25	1864	4912	289852	295448
Electricity	0	0	0	0	2249	n.a.
Gas & steam supply	1	2	4	15	697	n.a.
Watersupply	0	0	0	0	955	n.a.
Sanitary services	1	4	0	44	0	n.a.
Transportation	62	58	4851	8088	2306259	1612056
Support. serv. for transp.	55	17	1884	1097	167769	72807
Telecommunications	13	36	815	2926	47036	180270
Broadcasting	0	1	0	6	153	n.a.
Research institutes	5	1	283	n.a.	17597	17980
Medical & health services	8	10	170	677	930	n.a.
Private non-profit org. serv.	0	1	0	6	28108	28463
Information services*	172	326	11378	25676	218713	n.a.
Goods & equip. rental	2	3	371	489	151981	241400
Other business serv.	169	173	6025	13455	385959	815999
Amusement & rec. serv.	14	12	622	1807	266458	205003
Hotels & lodg. places	11	14	1603	1655	1478421	341682
Oth. personal services	18	21	3166	3094	7823	1722
Not classified	7		175		1977969	1697230
Total	3148	3287	464659	491141	43080466	51883693

Note: The correlation coefficient between the percentage change in the number of employees and the percentage change in imports (1990-97) is 0.3534.

The data on JAFF partially cover Japanese branches and other establishments directly owned by foreign firms.

* Information Services imports for 1997 are included in Other Business Services.

Sources: Touyou Keizai Sinpou-sha and Economic Planning Agency (1992, 1999); Japanese Government (1990).



$Y = -0.351 + 0.716 * X$
t-value (-1.188) (1.418)
No. of Obs. 16
Adj. R2 0.0631

Figure 6. Recent Trends in JAFF's Employment and Japan's Imports : 1990 - 97

Table 9. Definition of Variables for Analysis on Inward FDI Penetration

Dependent Variable:		
Japan's Inward FDI Penetration:		
FDIJA	Share of sales by majority-owned JAFF in Japan's total domestic demand: 1995	
Independent Variables:		[Expected Sign of Coefficients]
Locational Advantage:		
UNSKIL	Unskilled-labor intensity: Share of non-university graduates in total workers: 1992	[-]
LAND	Land intensity: land input (book value) per employee: Industry average: 1995	[-]
FDI Restrictiveness:		
RINV	Japan's FDI restrictiveness: 1994	[-]
RRATIO	Ratio of Japan's FDI restrictiveness to Japan's trade restrictiveness: 1994	[-]
RINVJAUS	Japan's FDI restrictiveness minus U.S. FDI restrictiveness: 1994	[-]
Productivity:		
DPROD	Japan's productivity level (United States = 1): 1990	[-/+]
Entry Rate:		
ENT	Share of workers employed by independent or head establishments which were newly set up in 1994-96 in total workers employed by all the independent or head establishments in 1996	[+]
Keiretsu:		
GRP	Share of workers employed by Keiretsu firms in total workers: 1990	[-]
Market Structure:		
CR3	The top 3-firm concentration ratio:1995	[+/-]
U.S. Penetration		
FDIUS	Share of sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates in U.S. total domestic output: 1992	[+]
U.S. FDI Share		
FDISHUS	Share of sales by foreign firms' U.S. affiliates in U.S. total purchases from foreigners: 1992	[+]

Note: 1) "Majority-owned foreign affiliates" here refers to those affiliates in which foreign investor's ownership share is 49% or more.

2) For more detailed definitions and sources of the variables, see Appendix A.

Table 10. Determinants of Japan's Inward FDI Penetration: Tobit Estimation

Japan's Inward FDI Penetration					
(Dependent Variable : FDIJA)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
UNSKIL	-0.0274 (-0.581)	-0.0069 (-0.145)	-0.0206 (-0.430)	-0.0282 (-0.615)	-0.0391 (-0.808)
LAND	0.0000 (-1.107)		0.0000 (-1.070)	0.0000 (-1.171)	0.0000 (-1.239)
RINV	-0.0086 (-0.376)	0.0353 (1.717)*	-0.0332 (-0.753)		
RRATIO			0.0237 (0.652)		
RINVJAUS				0.0879 (1.385)	0.1054 (1.676)
DPROD	0.0409 (2.318)**	0.0188 (1.243)	0.0442 (2.428)**	0.0397 (2.548)**	0.0387 (2.449)**
GRP	-0.1393 (-3.021)***	-0.0613 (-1.243)	-0.1481 (-3.108)***	-0.1489 (-3.306)***	-0.1554 (-3.365)***
ENT	0.4827 (3.545)***	0.6553 (4.591)***	0.4793 (3.548)***	0.5170 (4.160)***	0.5179 (4.188)***
CR3	0.0012 (3.410)***		0.0012 (3.462)***	0.0011 (3.566)***	0.0010 (3.290)***
FDIUS	0.1525 (0.977)	0.2051 (1.212)	0.1212 (0.749)	0.1062 (0.683)	
FDISHUS					-0.0129 (-0.542)
_cons	-0.0463 (-1.038)	-0.0736 (-1.641)	-0.0563 (-1.202)	-0.0531 (-1.239)	-0.0286 (-0.540)
No. of Obs.	36	46	36	36	36
LR	28.45***	20.64***	28.87***	30.17***	29.99***
Pseudo R2	-0.3330	-0.2456	-0.3379	-0.3532	-0.3511

Note: t-statistics are in parentheses.

*P=.10

**P=.05

***P=.01